

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,207	04/16/2007	Herbert Praschak	65736(49338)	4000
21874 7590 6901/2009 EDWARDS ANGELI, PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582 207 PRASCHAK, HERBERT Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Virginia Manoharan 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1797

## DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors, e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required. The abstract in the PCT does not suffice.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the drier claimed in claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). See page 4, first and third full paragraphs indicating that the drier is not shown.

The drawings are also objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "23" and "49" have both been used to designate "delivery pump". See page 4, second and third full paragraphs. [Applicant should further check the specification and /or drawing(s) that different numbers do not refer to the same part; and vice versa, i.e., different parts are not being referred to by the same number]

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Art Unit: 1797

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because the reference to a brief description of the drawings is not provided in the specification to comply with 37 CFR 1.74.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a). The claims, as framed, are functional to the point of being indefinite inasmuch as the process steps languages and the functional languages make the actual structure vague and the true structural limitations for apparatus claims, are difficult to determine.
The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively

Art Unit: 1797

specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

- b). It is unclear what is meant by a "..pump in the form of a fan.." as two distinct devices are being recitied, a pump and a fan or blower.
- c).Claim 5 recites the limitation "the drier" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- d). The claimed "in particular" in claim 1, line 2, and claim 5, line 2 fails to ascertain the claimed invention with precision.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DE 2632910 or Dyer (4,437,316).

The DE '910 or Dyer discloses an evaporation system comprising an evaporator heated by process waste steam and a process stage heated by product vapors of the evaporator, in particular at least one further evaporator, wherein a vapor compression stage is connected to the product vapor exit of the evaporator as broadly claimed in claim 1. See the abstract and col. 5, lines 45-59 of Dyer; and the English translation of DE' 910 (provided). While not positively recited, obviously the compression stage in the apparatus of DE'910 or Dyer possesses the capability of performing the recited function of the compression stage lowering the dew point of the evaporator below the

Art Unit: 1797

temperature value required for heating the process stage and, by compression of the product vapor, raises it to the temperature of the product vapor to the temperature value required for heating the process stage as further claimed in claim 1.

Claims 2-4, for examples, do not define any elements of an apparatus, and accordingly cannot be distinguished from the prior art in the structural sense. [A process limitation is not the basis for patentability of an apparatus claim].

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a). Gress and Hansen both teach the used of a compressor to increase the temperature of the vapor.
- b). Kikkawa et al discloses an apparatus wherein the steam from a compressor can meet the drier steam requirement.
- c). Kresnyak et al discloses an apparatus having a vapor recompressor and waste heat recovery sytem.
- d). Greenfield ,deceased et al discloses an apparatus having a plurality of vapor recompression evaporators operating in staged array.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. Application/Control Number: 10/582,207 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Virginia Manoharan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797